

REMARKS

In the Office Action, claims 66-71 and 77-79 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Suliman, Jr. et al. (U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2001/0053980) in view of Schrier et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,833,599). Claims 72 and 73 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Suliman, Jr. et al. in view of Schrier et al., and further in view of Ware (U.S. Pat. No. 4,707,592).


Applicant would like to thank Examiner Najarian for the consideration given applicant's attorney at the interview of February 21, 2008. At the interview, agreement was reached with respect to the amendments to the claims to distinguish the present invention over the prior art of record subject to an updated search and further consideration.

Based on the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the claims in the present application, as they now stand, patentably distinguish over the references cited and applied by the Examiner and are, therefore, in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is in order, and such favorable action and reconsideration are respectfully requested.

However, if after reviewing the above amendments and remarks, the Examiner has any questions or comments, she is cordially invited to contact the undersigned attorneys.

Respectfully submitted,

JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC

By: 
Jonathan L. Scherer
Reg. No. 29,851

400 Seventh Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2201
(202) 638-6666
Date: February 25, 2008
JLS:crj